

**FY 2018 Chautauqua County CoC Project Review and Ranking
Scoring- Renewal Projects**

Measure	Where found	Points	STEL data	HOME Data	STEL Score	Home Score
Did the program serve an eligible population? (All existing CoC funded programs are PSH with an eligible population of literally homeless with a disabling condition)		Yes = 5 pts No = 0 pts	Yes	Yes	5	5
Occupancy- average daily utilization rate	APR- Q02- Bed Utilization Rate	≥90%=10 pts; 80-89%=5 pts; 70-79%=2 pts; <70%= 0 pts	100%	100%	10	10
Did the program meet or exceed its outcomes as stated in the APR?		Met = 5pts Exceeded = 8 pts Partial = 3pts No = 0 pts	Met	Met	5	5
Housing Stability- % who remained or exited to PH	APR- Q29	≥90%=10 pts; 80-89%=5 pts; 70-79%=2 pts; <70%= 0 pts	100%	94%	10	10
Total Income- % who maintained or increase total income	APR- Q29	≥20%=10 pts; 15-19=5 pts; 10-14%=2; <10=0	21%	77%	10	10
Earned Income- % who maintained or increased earned income	APR- Q36b- Measure 2b	≥20%=10 pts; 15-19=5 pts; 10-14%=2; <10=0	0%	29%	0	10
Does the Project <i>focus</i> on a harder to serve poulation that can account for inability to meet outcomes?		Yes = 5 pts No = 0 pts	Yes	Yes	5	5
Submitted APR on time?	Project Application- Q2B-1	10= yes; 0= no	Yes	Yes	10	10
Unresolved HUD Monitoring and/or OIG Audit findings?	Project Application- Q2B-2 plus local monitoring finds & response	0= yes; 10= no	No	No	10	10
Does the Project use a Housing First or Low Barrier Model?	Project Application- Q3B	Housing First = 10 Low Barrier = 5	HF	HF	10	10
Maintained consistent Quarterly Drawdowns?	Project Application- Q2B-3 plus ELOCCS drawn down schedule	10= yes; 0= no	Yes	Yes	10	10
% of used funds	Project Application Q2B4, E-LOCCS- Disbursed /Authorized	≥90%=10 pts; 80-89%=5 pts; 70-79%=2 pts; <70%= 0 pts	93%	90%	10	10
		Total Points			95	105

Chautauqua County CoC Project Review and Ranking
Scoring- New Projects

Measure	Points	Project 1	Project 2	Project 3
Threshold requirements - The project is either RRH (serving homeless categories 1&4 or PSH with 100% of population served Chronically homeless; matching funds are provided, Project design is Housing First	Yes/No for each required element. No points assigned. If answer "no" to any part of this question, do NOT proceed - project is not eligible for funding.			
Need for Project clearly documented in project application.	Yes = 10 pts; Somewhat = 5pts; No = 0 pts			
The project adds to the CoC's ability to meet the needs of homeless households.	Yes = 10 pts; Somewhat = 5pts; No = 0 pts			
Program design is suitable for proposed population.	Yes = 10 pts; Somewhat = 5pts; No = 0 pts			
Proposed program has reasonable timeline for implementation.	Yes = 10 pts; Somewhat = 5pts; No = 0 pts			
Proposed program addresses increased housing stability for participants	Yes = 10 pts; Somewhat = 5pts; No = 0 pts			
Proposed program addresses access to mainstream benefits	Yes = 10 pts; Somewhat = 5pts; No = 0 pts			
Proposed program addresses increases in household income - earned or unearned.	Yes = 10 pts; Somewhat = 5pts; No = 0 pts			
Proposed costs are reasonable for the program type and population served	Yes = 10 pts; Somewhat = 5pts; No = 0 pts			
Applicant is experienced with grant management	Yes = 5 pts; Somewhat = 2 pts; No = 0 pts			
Applicant demonstrates experience serving the proposed population	Yes = 5 pts; Somewhat = 2 pts; No = 0 pts			
Applicant demonstrates fiscal integrity with no findings on most recent audit.	Yes = 5 pts; Somewhat = 2 pts; No = 0 pts			
Applicant proposes to participate fully in HMIS and CE.	Yes = 5 pts; Somewhat = 2 pts; No = 0 pts DO NOT SCORE FOR PROGRAMS SERVING VICTIMS OF DV.			
For applicant serving victims of domestic violence - does the project indicate that it will maintain all required data elements in a separate, secure database?	Yes = 5pts, No =0 pts.			
	Total Points	0	0	0

The Chautauqua County Homeless Coalition



Promoting a uniform response to the needs of the homeless in our community.

Ranking Committee Minutes

Date: 9/5/18

Members Participating:

Name	Organization
<u>Jon Anderson</u>	<u>Chautauqua County DHHS</u>
<u>Tami Berg</u>	<u>Community Helping Hands</u>
<u>Jeff Rotunda</u>	<u>UCAN</u>

Notes:

Ranking Committee was provided with written guidance, most recent APRs for STEL & Housing Options Made Easy, current CoC application for STEL & Housing Options Made Easy, and a CoC Project Review and Ranking Scoring tool.

Final Ranking - Tier 1

Project Applicant	Dollar Amount
<u>Chautauqua Opportunities, Inc. – HMIS</u>	<u>\$20,976</u>
<u>DHA/Southern Tier Environments for Living</u>	<u>\$84,288</u>
<u>Housing Options Made Easy – PSH</u>	<u>\$84,521</u>

Final Ranking - Tier 2

Project Applicant	Dollar Amount
<u>Housing Options Made Easy - PSH</u>	<u>\$12,114</u>
<u>N/A</u>	<u>N/A</u>
<u>N/A</u>	<u>N/A</u>